

***FROM KNOWLEDGE TRANSMISSION TO LEARNING
ARCHITECTURE: THE CHANGING ROLE OF TEACHERS IN AI-
DRIVEN CLASSROOMS***

Dr. Bharat Parmar

&

Dr. Bhavin Chauhan

Assistant Professor

Department of Education

Faculty of Education and Psychology

The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda

Vadodara, Gujarat

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI)—including learning analytics, adaptive learning systems, automated feedback tools, and generative AI—has rapidly shifted what classrooms can do and what teachers are expected to do. While early narratives framed AI as a partial replacement for teaching, contemporary evidence and policy guidance increasingly position teachers as *more* important, not less: as designers of learning, ethical stewards, interpreters of data, facilitators of higher-order thinking, and guardians of equity and student wellbeing. This research-driven paper synthesizes major trends in AI in education and proposes a practical role-shift model for teachers in AI-driven classrooms. Drawing on international guidance and scholarly literature, it argues that the teacher’s role is changing along three core transitions: (1) from “explainer-in-chief” to learning architect and discourse leader, (2) from “grader” to feedback strategist and assessment designer, and (3) from “class manager” to human-centred systems steward who protects agency, privacy, and fairness. The paper highlights opportunities (personalization, accessibility, time savings, formative feedback) alongside risks (bias, surveillance, dependency, academic integrity challenges, deprofessionalization). It concludes with a competency roadmap and institutional recommendations that support teacher agency, responsible AI adoption, and pedagogy-first integration.

Keywords: AI in education, teacher agency, generative AI, learning analytics, assessment, ethics, digital competence, classroom pedagogy

Introduction

Classrooms have always been shaped by tools. Chalk reorganized attention. Textbooks standardized content. Projectors changed pacing. Learning management systems restructured communication. AI, however, is not merely a new tool—it is a new *actor* in the learning environment, producing outputs, recommendations, feedback, and predictions that influence what students do and what teachers decide (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). AI-driven classrooms are now marked by adaptive platforms, automated tutoring features, learning analytics dashboards, and—most disruptively—generative AI systems capable of producing fluent text, code, images, and explanations on demand (Kasneci et al., 2023; UNESCO, 2023).

This shift has triggered a fundamental question: **What is the teacher's role when an AI system can explain, generate examples, create worksheets, translate text, and even “grade” drafts?** The tempting but mistaken answer is: “less teacher.” A more accurate answer is: a **different teacher**—with greater emphasis on human judgment, relational intelligence, ethical oversight, and learning design (Selwyn, 2019; UNESCO, 2023).

The changing role is not only pedagogical but also political and professional. AI systems embed values: what they measure, what they optimise, and whose language patterns and cultural assumptions they reproduce. Teachers are now working in environments where data is abundant, automation is persuasive, and educational decisions can be nudged by algorithms—sometimes invisibly (Williamson, 2020). In such a setting, teacher agency becomes a core educational safeguard, not a luxury.

This paper aims to:

1. Define the AI-driven classroom in practical terms.
2. Synthesize research on how AI reshapes teaching work.
3. Propose a role-transition model grounded in evidence and policy guidance.
4. Identify risks and ethical tensions with mitigation strategies.
5. Offer a competency roadmap for teachers and institutions.

Conceptualizing the AI-Driven Classroom

What “AI-Driven” Actually Means

An AI-driven classroom is not necessarily a classroom full of robots or students chatting with a single chatbot. It is a learning environment where AI systems meaningfully influence instructional processes—through **recommendations, automations, predictions, or generative outputs**. Common forms include:

- **Adaptive learning systems** that change difficulty or sequence based on performance.
- **Intelligent tutoring systems** that provide hints and feedback.
- **Learning analytics** dashboards that flag risk, predict achievement, or summarize engagement.
- **Automated assessment tools** for quizzes, writing feedback, or rubric suggestions.
- **Generative AI** for content creation, tutoring dialogue, translation, and brainstorming (Kasneci et al., 2023; UNESCO, 2023).

AI in education has been discussed for decades, but recent generative AI has lowered the barrier to use. Students can now access sophisticated text generation instantly, which changes both learning behaviour and assessment validity (Kasneci et al., 2023; UNESCO, 2023).

From Digital Competence to AI Competence

Traditional digital competence frameworks emphasize communication, resources, pedagogy, assessment, and empowerment in digital environments (Redecker & Punie, 2017). In AI-driven classrooms, competence expands teachers must interpret algorithmic outputs, manage AI-supported workflows, and make ethical decisions about data, bias, and transparency (European Commission, 2022; UNESCO, 2023). OECD work similarly highlights the importance of developing teacher competences aligned to complexity, professional judgment, and evolving educational ecosystems (OECD, 2023, 2025).

Literature Review: What Research Says About Teachers and AI

AI Promises and the Persistent Need for Teachers

A central finding across literature is that AI can assist learning and reduce routine workload, but it does not replace the core human functions of teaching—especially relational support, contextual judgment, and ethical governance (Holmes et al., 2019; Selwyn, 2019; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). UNESCO guidance emphasizes a human-centred approach and warns against using generative AI without strong safeguards for privacy, equity, and academic integrity (UNESCO, 2023).

The “Where Are the Educators?” Problem

A notable concern in AI-in-education research is that many studies focus on technical capabilities rather than classroom realities and teacher decision-making. A systematic review highlighted the need to foreground educators—how AI affects their roles, professional autonomy, and pedagogical practice (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). When implementation is tech-led rather than pedagogy-led, AI risks becoming an external control system rather than a supportive learning tool (Selwyn, 2019; Williamson, 2020).

Generative AI: Acceleration and Disruption

Large language models introduce two big shifts:

1. **Ubiquitous “instant assistance”** (drafting, explaining, summarizing, translating).
2. **New integrity and dependency risks**, because AI can produce plausible but incorrect outputs and can be used to bypass learning processes (Kasneci et al., 2023; UNESCO, 2023).

In response, researchers and policy bodies recommend *redesigning assessment*, teaching AI literacy, and building classroom norms that support learning rather than shortcutting it (European Commission, 2022; Kasneci et al., 2023; UNESCO, 2023).

Datafication and Surveillance Concerns

AI systems often rely on large-scale data collection. Critical scholarship warns that education can shift toward monitoring, prediction, and behavioural optimization—sometimes at the cost of student autonomy and teacher professional judgment (Williamson, 2020). The ethical question is not only “Does it work?” but also “What does it do to agency, trust, and classroom culture?”

A Role-Transition Model for Teachers in AI-Driven Classrooms

This paper proposes a role-transition model with three headline shifts and nine role-components. Think of it as the teacher moving from **performer** to **orchestrator**—still leading the learning, but with new instruments in the orchestra pit (and yes, the AI trumpet sometimes plays the wrong note confidently).

Shift 1: From Content Deliverer to Learning Architect

Why it changes: Generative AI and digital resources reduce scarcity of explanations and examples. What becomes scarce is *meaningful learning design*—sequencing, discussion structures, inquiry tasks, and application in authentic contexts.

New role-components:

Curriculum Sense-Maker

Teachers interpret curriculum goals and translate them into learning experiences that match student context. AI can generate activities, but it cannot fully judge cultural nuance, classroom constraints, and developmental appropriateness (Holmes et al., 2019; Selwyn, 2019).

Example:

AI generates 20 debate topics on climate change. The teacher selects 3 aligned with local context, builds a protocol for respectful dialogue, assigns roles, and sets evidence standards.

Learning Experience Designer

Teachers design tasks where AI becomes a scaffold, not a crutch. Design emphasizes inquiry, reflection, and transfer—things that cannot be “completed” by copying AI outputs (Kasneci et al., 2023).

Example:

Instead of “Write an essay on photosynthesis,” the task becomes:

- Use AI to draft a simple explanation for a 10-year-old.
- Critique it using the textbook and lab observations.
- Improve it with a diagram and a real-world analogy.

The teacher grades the *thinking trail*, not only the final text.

Discourse Leader and Culture Builder

AI can talk, but it cannot *host* a classroom community with psychological safety, fairness, humour, and belonging. Teachers set norms for inquiry, disagreement, and collaboration (UNESCO, 2023).

Example:

Teacher uses AI-generated “wrong answers” as discussion prompts: “Which part is misleading and why?” Students learn to challenge outputs respectfully—like fact-checkers in training.

Shift 2: From Grader to Feedback Strategist and Assessment Designer

Why it changes: AI can produce rapid feedback and scoring suggestions, but assessment is also about validity, values, and learning incentives. In AI-rich environments, traditional take-home assignments may no longer measure what they intend.

New role-components:

Assessment Redesign Architect

Teachers design assessments that emphasize process, oral defence, in-class creation, practical performance, and authentic evidence (Kasneci et al., 2023; UNESCO, 2023).

Examples of AI-resilient assessment:

- Live problem-solving with explanation.
- Viva/oral questioning: “Explain why you chose this step.”
- Iterative drafts with reflection logs.

- Personal-context tasks: local data, personal experience, classroom experiments.

Feedback Curator

AI feedback can be abundant but noisy. Teachers decide what feedback matters now, what can wait, and what will actually improve learning (Holmes et al., 2019).

Example:

AI gives 30 writing suggestions. The teacher highlights 3 priorities: clarity of claim, evidence relevance, and paragraph coherence—preventing feedback overload.

Integrity Coach (Not Integrity Police)

The teacher's role evolves from catching cheating to cultivating a culture where learning is valued and AI use is transparent. UNESCO explicitly highlights the need for guidance, safeguards, and human oversight with generative AI (UNESCO, 2023).

Example:

A classroom "AI Use Declaration" becomes normal:

- What did you use AI for?
- What did you change and why?
- What sources did you verify?

This supports honesty and metacognition.

Shift 3: From Classroom Manager to Human-Centred Systems Steward

Why it changes: AI introduces new risks—bias, privacy issues, opaque recommendations, and potential deprofessionalization if teachers are expected to follow algorithmic instructions without judgment.

New role-components:**Data Interpreter and Decision-Maker**

Analytics can help identify patterns, but teachers must interpret signals carefully. Predictive flags can be wrong or biased; they require context and compassion (Williamson, 2020; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Example:

A dashboard flags a student as "low engagement." The teacher discovers the student shares a device at home and has caregiving duties. The intervention becomes supportive, not punitive.

Ethical Guardian and Privacy Steward

Ethical guidelines emphasize transparency, safety, data protection, fairness, and teacher responsibility when using AI and data in teaching (European Commission, 2022; UNESCO, 2021, 2023).

Example:

Before adopting an AI tool, the teacher (and institution) checks:

- What data is collected?
- Where is it stored?
- Can students opt out?
- Is there human review?

This is not bureaucracy—it is safeguarding trust.

Equity and Inclusion Advocate

AI can widen gaps if only some students have access, if models reflect dominant language patterns, or if systems disadvantage certain learners. UNESCO emphasizes equity, inclusion, and a human-centred approach (UNESCO, 2021, 2023).

Example:

Teacher uses AI translation for multilingual support but teaches students to verify meaning and tone. The goal is inclusion, not dependency.

Key Tensions and Risks: What Could Go Wrong (and How Teachers Respond)

Over-Automation and Deprofessionalization

If institutions treat AI recommendations as instructions, teachers risk becoming operators rather than professionals. Selwyn (2019) warns that AI adoption is shaped by values and power, not only efficiency. Protecting teacher agency is central to responsible implementation (UNESCO, 2023; UNESCO, 2025).

Mitigation:

- Policy: “AI assists; teachers decide.”
- Professional development focused on judgment, not just tools.
- Transparent override options in AI systems.

Bias and Fairness

AI can reproduce historical inequities through biased training data or biased proxies (UNESCO, 2021, 2023).

Mitigation:

- Human review of high-stakes outputs (grading, risk flags).
- Diverse training data and evaluation.

- Classroom AI literacy: students learn bias detection.

Privacy, Consent, and Trust

Data collection can erode trust if learners feel monitored. Education can become “surveillance by default” (Williamson, 2020).

Mitigation:

- Minimal data collection.
- Clear consent practices.
- Institutional data governance and transparency.

Academic Integrity and Learning Dependency

Generative AI can shortcut productive struggle and weaken long-term learning if misused. It can also hallucinate misinformation (Kasneci et al., 2023).

Mitigation:

- Assessment redesign (process evidence, oral checks, authentic tasks).
- Teach verification strategies.
- Normalize ethical AI use rather than blanket bans (UNESCO, 2023).

Competency Roadmap for Teachers in AI-Driven Classrooms

Drawing from digital competence work (Redecker & Punie, 2017), ethical guidance (European Commission, 2022), and UNESCO’s human-centred framing (UNESCO, 2021, 2023), the following competency clusters are most critical:

AI Literacy for Educators

- Understand basic AI functions, limits, and typical failure modes (e.g., confident errors).
- Identify suitable vs unsuitable tasks for AI support.

Pedagogy-First Design with AI

- Create tasks where AI supports learning outcomes (analysis, creativity, inquiry) instead of replacing them.
- Use AI for differentiation while preserving challenge and meaning.

Assessment and Feedback Engineering

- Design AI-resilient assessment.
- Use AI to enhance formative feedback without losing validity.

Ethics, Privacy, and Equity Leadership

- Apply ethical guidelines in classroom decisions.
- Advocate for inclusive access and bias-aware use.

Professional Learning and Collaboration

- Participate in communities of practice.
- Share prompts, workflows, and lesson designs responsibly.

OECD emphasizes teacher competencies for navigating complexity and professional judgment in evolving educational contexts (OECD, 2025). This aligns strongly with the argument that AI increases—not decreases—the demand for sophisticated teaching expertise.

Institutional and Policy Implications

Teachers cannot carry AI transformation alone. Responsible AI-driven classrooms require institution-level commitments:

Clear Governance and Ethical Standards

Adopt ethical guidelines on AI and data use, including transparency, privacy safeguards, and human oversight (European Commission, 2022; UNESCO, 2021, 2023).

Time and Support for Redesign

Assessment redesign, workflow changes, and AI literacy teaching require time, not just enthusiasm.

Professional Development That Builds Agency

Training should focus on decision-making, critical evaluation, and pedagogy, not only tool operation (OECD, 2023; UNESCO, 2023).

Equitable Access Infrastructure

Without devices, connectivity, and accessible tools, AI advantages concentrate among privileged learners (UNESCO, 2021, 2023).

Tool Procurement Based on Evidence and Values

Institutions should evaluate AI tools not only on performance but also on data practices, bias risks, and alignment with educational aims (Selwyn, 2019; UNESCO, 2023).

Conclusion

AI-driven classrooms are changing the role of teachers, but not by making teachers less important. They are making teaching **more human-centred and more professionally**

complex. As AI takes over some routine production (drafting worksheets, generating examples, offering instant feedback), the teacher's work shifts toward what AI cannot reliably do: contextual judgment, ethical stewardship, relationship-building, cultural responsiveness, and the design of meaningful learning.

This paper has argued that the teacher's role changes through three main transitions:

1. From content delivery to learning architecture and discourse leadership.
2. From grading to feedback strategy and assessment redesign.
3. From classroom management to human-centred systems stewardship protecting agency, equity, and trust.

The future of AI in education should be framed not as “teachers vs machines,” but as a design challenge: **How do we build classrooms where AI expands learning while preserving dignity, fairness, and human agency?** In that future, teachers remain the central professionals—now also serving as the conductors of an increasingly algorithmic orchestra. The baton stays human.

REFERENCES

- European Commission. (2022). *Ethical guidelines on the use of artificial intelligence (AI) and data in teaching and learning for educators*.
https://teachertaskforce.org/sites/default/files/2023-03/2022_EC_ethical%20guidelines%20on%20the%20use%20of%20artificial%20intelligence_EN.pdfTeacher Task Force
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign. Curriculum Redesign Center+1
- Kasneci, E., Seßler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günnemann, S., Hüllermeier, E., Krusche, S., Kutyniok, G., Michaeli, T., Nerdel, C., Pfeffer, J., Poquet, O., Sailer, M., Schmidt, A., Seidel, T., ... Kasneci, G. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 103, 102274. ScienceDirect
- OECD. (2023). *OECD digital education outlook 2023: Pushing the frontiers with AI, blockchain and robots*. OECD Publishing. OECD+1
- OECD. (2025). *Teacher competencies for navigating complexities* (Teaching Compass concept note). OECD. OECD

- Redecker, C., & Punie, Y. (2017). *European framework for the digital competence of educators: DigCompEdu* (EUR 28775 EN). Publications Office of the European Union. Joint Research Centre+1
- Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education*. Polity Press. Monash Research+1
- UNESCO. (2019). *Beijing consensus on artificial intelligence and education*. UNESCO. UNESCO Documentation
- UNESCO. (2021). *AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers*. UNESCO. UNESCO Documentation+1
- UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research*. UNESCO. Table Media+1
- UNESCO. (2025, November 21). *Promoting and protecting teacher agency in the age of AI* (International Task Force on Teachers for Education 2030). UNESCO. UNESCO
- Williamson, B. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(3), 223–235. Taylor & Francis Online
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education—Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(39). Springer+1